



# State of New Jersey

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

P.O. BOX 600

TRENTON, NJ 08625-0600

CHRIS CHRISTIE  
*Governor*

KIM GUADAGNO  
*Lt. Governor*

JAMES S. SIMPSON  
*Commissioner*

October 24, 2012

Re: TP-900 Route 1 & 9 Pulaski Skyway Superstructure & Substructure Rehabilitation, Seismic Retrofit & Drainage

To All Short-Listed Firms:

Attached are the results of the Step 1 process for the above referenced solicitation. Within one (1) week, an e-mail will be sent from NJDOT Professional Services to all short-listed firms notifying them of the date, time and location of the pre-oral presentation meeting.

Topics to be discussed at the pre-oral presentation meeting shall be:

- 1) Summarization of the pre-bid conference.
- 2) Dates, times and location of the oral presentations.
- 3) Presentation order of firms.
- 4) Number of allowable presenters.
- 5) Allowable set-up, presentation and Q & A time limits.
- 6) Shortlisted firms should be mindful of the following law when selecting participants for their oral presentations. N.J.S.A. 52:13D-17 applies to all NJDOT procurements, and provides:

No State officer or employee or special State officer or employee, subsequent to the termination of his office or employment in any State agency, shall represent, appear for, negotiate on behalf of, or provide information not generally available to members of the public or services to, or agree to represent, appear for, negotiate on behalf of, or provide information not generally available to members of the public or services to, whether by himself or through any partnership, firm or corporation in which he has an interest or through any partner, officer or employee thereof, any person or party other than the State in connection with any cause, proceeding, application or other matter with respect to which such State officer or employee or special State officer or employee shall have made any investigation, rendered any ruling, given any opinion, or been otherwise substantially and directly involved at any time during the course of his office or employment. Any person who willfully violates the provisions of this section is a disorderly person, and shall be subject to a fine not to exceed \$500.00 or imprisonment not to exceed six months, or both.

"IMPROVING LIVES BY IMPROVING TRANSPORTATION"

New Jersey Is An Equal Opportunity Employer • Printed on Recycled and Recyclable Paper

- 7) Reminder that the oral presentations are a forum to elaborate on the short-listed firm's written proposal and not an opportunity to introduce an additional technical or theoretical project approach.
- 8) Reminder that sub-consultants that are proposed on multiple teams shall not be permitted to participate on any short-listed firm's oral presentation.

Sincerely,



David S. Kern  
Administrative Analyst 2,  
Procurement

Attachment



**NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION  
BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL SERVICES  
DIVISION OF PROCUREMENT  
SUMMARY OF TECHNICAL PROPOSAL / CONSULTANT SELECTION**

<b>Tech Proposal #:</b>	900 (SHORTLISTING)								
<b>Selection Posting Date:</b>	October 24, 2012								
<b>Last Day to Request PM Comments:</b>	No debriefings until FINAL selection								
<b>Project Description:</b>	<p><b>Route 1 &amp; 9 Pulaski Skyway Rehabilitation</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Superstructure Steel Rehabilitation &amp; Substructure (Steel) Rehabilitation – eastern end</li> <li>2. Superstructure Steel Rehabilitation &amp; Substructure (Steel) Rehabilitation western end</li> <li>3. Substructure (Concrete) Rehabilitation, Seismic Retrofit &amp; Drainage – eastern end</li> <li>4. Substructure (Concrete) Rehabilitation, Seismic Retrofit &amp; Drainage – western end</li> <li>5. Full Ramp Rehabilitation including replacement of deck (Broadway, Kearny &amp; Newark Ramps)</li> </ol>								
<b>Selection Information:</b>	<p>A Technical Proposal was uploaded by the Bureau of Professional Services to the Department's Web Site listing <b>27</b> prequalified firms resulting in <b>11</b> firms responding with Technical Proposals. <i>Following review and discussion of the scoring of the evaluation criteria, the CSC unanimously approved the recommendation of the following firms, considered to be statistically equal (within 5%) 1<sup>st</sup> ranked firms, for shortlisting:</i></p> <table border="1"> <tr> <td>Dewberry Engineers, Inc.</td><td>AECOM USA, Inc.</td></tr> <tr> <td>Arora and Associates, Inc.</td><td>Michael Baker Jr., Inc.</td></tr> <tr> <td>HNTB Corporation</td><td>The Louis Berger Group</td></tr> <tr> <td>Greenman-Pedersen, Inc.</td><td>Hardesty &amp; Hanover</td></tr> </table> <p>The affirmative action goal assigned for these <b>State</b> funded projects is <b>25% SBE</b>.</p>	Dewberry Engineers, Inc.	AECOM USA, Inc.	Arora and Associates, Inc.	Michael Baker Jr., Inc.	HNTB Corporation	The Louis Berger Group	Greenman-Pedersen, Inc.	Hardesty & Hanover
Dewberry Engineers, Inc.	AECOM USA, Inc.								
Arora and Associates, Inc.	Michael Baker Jr., Inc.								
HNTB Corporation	The Louis Berger Group								
Greenman-Pedersen, Inc.	Hardesty & Hanover								
<b>Firm Ratings and Rankings:</b>	See next page for this information.								
<b>Project Manager Comments:</b>	NO PM comments until FINAL selection is made.								



## Route 1&amp;9 Pulaski Skyway Rehabilitation ~ TP-900

## SUMMARY OF RATINGS and RANKINGS

CONSULTANT'S NAME	weight →	0.15		0.2		0.35		0.3	
	OVERALL SCORE	Project Manager		Key Staff		Project Approach		Firm's Capability	
Dewberry Engineers, Inc.	119.120	19.950	4	27.600	3	32.270	1	39.300	5
Arora & Associates, Inc.	118.550	20.250	3	27.400	4	32.200	2	38.700	6
HNTB Corporation	117.700	19.650	5	27.400	4	30.450	4	40.200	2
Greenman-Pedersen, Inc.	117.290	20.550	2	27.600	3	29.540	6	39.600	4
AECOM USA, Inc.	116.950	19.650	5	27.800	2	30.800	3	38.700	6
Michael Baker Jr., Inc.	116.660	20.550	2	28.000	1	28.210	8	39.900	3
The Louis Berger Group	115.240	20.550	2	26.600	8	28.490	7	39.600	4
Hardesty & Hanover	113.180	20.700	1	27.000	6	30.380	5	35.100	8
Stantec Consulting Services, Inc.	112.870	20.250	3	27.000	6	26.320	9	39.300	5
T.Y. Lin International	111.600	20.550	2	27.200	5	22.750	10	41.100	1
Johnson, Mirmiran & Thompson	86.160		6	26.800	7	21.560	11	37.800	7

$$5\% = 113.164$$